The following guidelines, issued by the FEI between 2011 and 2014 have, with the approval of the FEI, been amalgamated into this one document and like subjects grouped for ease of reading.

I would like to thank the FEI for giving approval for me to do this so that the information can be provided to our National Judges in one document.

This document covers Piaffe



This document will be updated as further guidelines are issued by the FEI

PIAFFE

From the Dressage Judge General Stephen Clarke – 7/4/14 Evaluation of Piaffe (Int A & Int B)

We have to remain true to the principle that it must be possible to award the maximum score, '10', for every exercise that is asked in the tests, provided that the quality can be regarded as 'excellent'.

Therefore is we see perfectly regular, highly expressive piaffe steps that are 'allowed to travel forwards 2 metres', as in the Int A & B tests, we should be prepared to to award the maximum score.

From the Dressage Judge General Ghislain Fouarge – 6/4/11 Guidelines for the evaluation of Piaffe/transitions Marking of mistakes

When evaluating a piaffe where there are mistakes, irregularities or interruptions of any kind, it is clearly only the mark for the piaffe itself that will be affected and the horse will be punished only once for the mistakes made.

However when the mistake spills over into the transition and affects the passage it is not sufficient to punish only once as all three elements are affected.

Example: Horse in the piaffe breaks into canter, canters out of the movement and does not show passage over the designated distance. To punish only once would clearly be insufficient as the transition mark is also affected by no transition out and the mark for passage would be affected by showing too little.

In such a case the horse must be punished 3 times. Once for the piaffe, once for the transition and once for the passage. Anything else would be unfair to those who show only one mistake in one element. If however the horse is corrected in the piaffe and is able to make the transition out to passage only the piaffe mark is affected.

Currently we apply this principle to the marking of mistakes in the extended canter/collected canter and flying change. If the rider does not correct the horse when changing in the extension and therefore makes no clear transition and flying change on the aid we penalize the horse in both elements of the movement. Obviously the Grand Prix Special is different where both elements are included in one mark.

Evaluation of the piaffe

Nowadays we are not strict enough when evaluating the piaffe, especially when there are irregularities.

Example: If a walk looses correct rhythm it is immediately punished with a mark below 5. If a horse changes behind in a canter pirouette the mark comes down to a 4 because of the irregularity. This principle should also apply to the piaffe.

Faults in the rhythm are as follows:

- Not diagonal
- Double beats
- Long, short or all time unlevel steps

In all these cases the mark must be below 5 because of the irregularity. It is clear, if the horse falls in walk or canter, it cannot be more than 3.

Other faults are as follows:

- Going more than 1 meter forward. This is only half steps not piaffe, therefore not more than 5.
- Slight backward tendency 5 or less depending on severity.
- Crossing behind or in front therefore unbalanced can be a 6 when slight but lower when more extreme.
- High croup not taking weight behind cannot be a high mark, then the horse is coming clearly on the forehand.
- Base wide or over-closing cannot be a high mark.

Not enough steps: The quality of piaffe has an influence when 10/11 steps are shown, but then the marks have to go down when less than 10 steps are shown, 8/9 steps the marks should go lower for 1 further mark, 7/8 steps 2 further marks lower, but when only 5/6 steps are shown no doubt is 3 and reflection on transition.

Areas where we can be more positive and the results could be a higher mark as 8 and more

When judging there are many instances where certain movements are marked in accordance with the average of the test which is not necessarily correct. There are also cases where some movements receive less consideration than the major elements according to the level of the test. Some examples :

- The flying change between the pirouettes is mainly scored 6 or 7. but if they are straight, uphill and fluent the marks should be higher.
- The collected walk between the pirouettes is seldom given more than 7, but if the rhythm is clear, good engaged and in a good collection, we should go higher .
- The transition from walk to passage. If the transition is direct and prompt, why not 8 or more .
- This is the same in the transitions passage extended trot passage, if they are showed in a supple way, without restriction.
- Also in the case in the transition from the collected canter to trot, if this is performed fluent, and the trot is immediately showed in a good collection.
- The transition from passage into canter, if the transition is direct, followed by high quality canter also 8 or more.
- Another example is the flying change at the end of the pirouette in Inter 1, if clear uphill, straight and fluent.

In all these instances the tendency is towards the 6 or 7 whereas in the major elements we are more inclined to go higher and lower. This is not entirely fair and these movements should be given more thought to achieve a better end result for the riders.

Example: Even if the pirouettes are only satisfactory the flying change may have the quality and straightness for an 8 or 9 and this should be awarded. Likewise when seemingly simple transition from canter to trot in the Grand Prix is done in a very good way it should be marked up even if the horse's average scores are closer to 6 or 7.

It is clear that judges should evaluate all the criteria in a balanced and clear way. Good, very good and excellent can apply to all the elements of each test not just the parts where the level of expression and extra ability bring high marks very easily. It is sometimes the lesser movements and transitions that form the vital link between the major movements of the test and show the real quality of training according to the Training Scale.

To: All FEI Dressage Judges From: Ghislain Fouarge, FEI Dressage Judge General – 19/7/12

Re: Piaffe

Dear Colleagues,

Some time ago guidelines were published concerning the judging of the piaffe and the walk. It would seem, however, that not everyone is following the guidelines.

Piaffe

When individual marks are shown at the International competitions some judges are still too kind to the piaffes that do not fully meet the criteria whilst the better or good ones are not rewarded enough. This is clearly unfair to the riders who take more risk and really try to make the piaffe in a correct way.

If we are not strict enough in our judging the riders will start to take the easier options because they know they will not be penalised and there is no point to make the movement more exact when it is not rewarded well enough. Why should they ride the piaffe on the spot and enough steps if doing less is awarded a 6 or higher? In addition the transitions are much easier to ride smoothly when taking the easier way.

It is the responsibility of the judge to keep the sport clear and be fully aware of the quality of the movements so that the sport will stay on a high level. If we continue to over mark the not so good performances of the piaffe and the following transiton into passage, the standard will go down.

A piaffe should fulfil the following criteria:

Correct rhythm, well balanced, stay on the correct track, appears to be on the spot, not crossing, with a good and correct elevation, with clear bending of the hindlegs and taking weight on the hindquarters, keeping the forward tendency, the neck arched with the poll the highest point, nose at the vertical without being short in the neck.

A short reminder of when the mark cannot be 5 or higher:

- Not diagonal
- double beats
- long/short or unlevel steps
- much too much forwards
- clear backwards tendency
- very few steps (4/5), or
- clearly showing tension or resistance

Perhaps it would be very helpful to read through the guidelines again (available here on the FEI website) and concentrate to adhere to those in order to make our judging more uniform.

From the Dressage Judge General Ghislain Fouarge (06 April 2011) Document updated on 25/7/13 Guidelines for the evaluation of Piaffe/transitions

Marking of mistakes

When evaluating a piaffe where there are mistakes, irregularities or interruptions of any kind, it is clearly only the mark for the piaffe itself that will be affected and the horse will be punished only once for the mistakes made.

However when the mistake spills over into the transition and affects the passage it is not sufficient to punish only once as all three elements are affected.

Example: Horse in the piaffe breaks into canter, canters out of the movement and does not show passage over the designated distance. To punish only once would clearly be insufficient as the transition mark is also affected by no transition out and the mark for passage would be affected by showing too little. In such a case the horse must be punished 3 times. Once for the piaffe, once for the transition and once for the passage. Anything else would be unfair to those who show only one mistake in one element. If however the horse is corrected in the piaffe and is able to make the transition out to passage only the piaffe mark is affected.

Currently we apply this principle to the marking of mistakes in the extended canter/collected canter and flying change. If the rider does not correct the horse when changing in the extension and therefore makes no clear transition and flying change on the aid we penalize the horse in both elements of the movement. Obviously the Grand Prix Special is different where both elements are included in one mark.

Evaluation of the piaffe

Nowadays we are not strict enough when evaluating the piaffe, especially when there are irregularities.

Example: If a walk looses correct rhythm it is immediately punished with a mark below 5. If a horse changes behind in a canter pirouette the mark comes down to a 4 because of the irregularity.

This principle should also apply to the piaffe.

Faults in the rhythm are as follows:

- Not diagonal
- Double beats
- Long, short or all time unlevel steps.

In all these cases the mark must be below 5 because of the irregularity

It is clear, if the horse falls in walk or canter, it cannot be more than 3. Other faults are as follows:

- Going more than 1 meter forward. This is only half steps not piaffe, therefore not more than 5.
- Slight backward tendency 5 or less depending on severity.
- Crossing behind or in front therefore unbalanced can be a 6 when slight but lower when more extreme.
- High croup not taking weight behind cannot be a high mark, then the horse is coming clearly on the forehand
- Base wide or over-closing cannot be a high mark.

Not enough steps: The quality of piaffe has an influence when 10/11 steps are shown, but then the marks have to go down when less than 10 steps are shown, 8/9 steps the marks should go lower for 1 further mark, 7/8 steps 2 further marks lower, but when only 5/6 steps are shown no doubt is 3 and reflection on transition.

Areas where we can be more positive and the results could be a higher mark as 8 and more When judging there are many instances where certain movements are marked in accordance with the average of the test which is not necessarily correct. There are also cases where some movements receive less consideration than the major elements according to the level of the test. Some examples:

- The flying change between the pirouettes is mainly scored 6 or 7, but if they are straight, uphill and fluent the marks should be higher.
- The collected walk between the pirouettes is seldom given more than 7, but if the rhythm is clear, good engaged and in a good collection, we should go higher.
- The transition from walk to passage. If the transition is direct and prompt, why not 8 or more.
- This is the same in the transitions passage extended trot passage, if they are showed in a supple way, without restriction.
- Also in the case in the transition from the collected canter to trot, if this is performed fluent, and the trot is immediately showed in a good collection.
- The transition from passage into canter, if the transition is direct, followed by high quality canter also 8 or more.
- Another example is the flying change at the end of the pirouette in Inter 1, if clear uphill, straight and fluent.

In all these instances the tendency is towards the 6 or 7 whereas in the major elements we are more inclined to go higher and lower. This is not entirely fair and these movements should be given more thought to achieve a better end result for the riders.

Example: Even if the pirouettes are only satisfactory the flying change may have the quality and straightness for an 8 or 9 and this should be awarded. Likewise when seemingly simple transition from canter to trot in the Grand Prix is done in a very good way it should be marked up even if the horse's average scores are closer to 6 or 7.

It is clear that judges should evaluate all the criteria in a balanced and clear way. Good, very good and excellent can apply to all the elements of each test not just the parts where the level of expression and extra ability bring high marks very easily. It is sometimes the lesser movements and transitions that form the vital link between the major movements of the test and show the real quality of training according to the Training Scale.

If however, the piaffe is shown 'more or less in place' as in the Grand Prix, and is performed in an 'excellent' way, we should still be prepared to award the maximum score, as the guidelines for A & B tests only state that it is '**allowed**' to advance for up to two metres. Nowhere is it stated that the piaffe '<u>must</u>' advance two metres.